D-2884 D-2886 D-2887 D-2888 D-2888 D-2888

l.

.

:

• 1

# 8 .

November 24, 31.

My dear Hughes,

With reference to your letter of Hovember 19 to Gerrard on the subject of labour statistics contained in the Police reports circulated to members of the Council, every effort will be made in future to make figures as explicit as possible.

The following explanation of the points reised by you in respect of the report of November 18 will I turst clarify matters:-

Bear & Mathews: The 74 employees of the concern absented themselves from work without offering any reason whatseever and in the event of their remaining out will within the next few days be replaced by new hands. Whether these workers struck to restify a grievance or threw up their employment for good has not been made clear, and the Special Branch has accordingly refrained from classing the case under the category of "strike".

Tab Hun Hostic Factory. This concern is located at 365 Tab Ss Locas off Ferry Read, outside the limits of the Settlement.

Dak Tone Printing Proces. S Herth Pakien Road. Here there are 160 employees on strike.

Actually there were only two strikes recorded on this date (Nevember 16), i.e.

Dah Tung Printing Press (lecated in the Settlement) 160

Tah Hwa Necktie Factory
(lecated in the Settlement) 200

360

Gerrard is not due back until December 22.

Yours sincerely,

(Se) D.M.J. Martin.

Me

File N	o
--------	---

## SHANGHAI MUNICIPAL POLICE.

## REPORT

S.L. S.B. Station,

Subject (in	Labour Statistics  Date Nov. 23, 19	••••
Made þý	and Forwarded by Supt. Robertson	
	The following is an explanation of the points raised	_
	in attached letter from ir. Hughes :-	_
	Behr & Matthews: The 74 employees of the concern absented	
	themselves from work without offering any reason whatsoever	
	and in the event of their remaining out will within the next	
	few days be replaced by new hands. Thether these workers	
	struck to rectify a grievance or threw up their employment	
	for good has not been made clear and the Special Branch has	
	accordingly refrained from classing the case under the category	
	cf "strike".	
	Tah Hwa Necktie Factory: This concern is located at 363 Yah	
	Sz Loong off Ferry Road, outside the limits of the Settlement.	
	Dah Tung Printing Press, 2 North Fokien Road : Here there	
	are 160 employees on strike.	w (000
	Actually there were only two strikes recorded  (nov. 18) on this date, i.e.:	
	Dah Tung Printing Press	
	(Located in Settlement) 160	_
	Tah Hwa Necktie Factory	
	(Located outside Settlement) 200	
	360	
	The Robertson	
	Superintendent.	

Officer i/c Special Branch.

- .

SHEET NO 1

DATE NOV. 19. 1931

D. D. 28. 4.

24. 11. 31.

Major F. W. Gerrard, C.I.E., Shanghai Municipal Police, Shanghai.

Person al

My dear Major:

I have been trying to find an opportunity to drop in and welcome you back to Shanghai. I trust that you had a very enjoyable holiday and that you have returned physically fit to meet the strenuous conditions which we have now to face.

There is a matter of trifling importance that I would like to bring to your attention in connection with the Police reports circulated to members of the Council. I have noticed in almost every report a discrepancy between the aggregate humber of employees on strike, as detailed in the reports, and the number given as on strike in the Settlement in the summary of strike statistics.

For example, in the report received this morning, there are 74 employees of Pehr A Mathews who are on strike; 160 employees of the Dah Tung Printing Press; and 200 of the Tah Hwa Mecktie Factory; making a total of 434, whilst the summary gives a total of only 160.

Although of no material importance, these discrepancies have been a matter of comment at a recent Council meeting. There probably is some simple explanation and it might be worth your while to refer to it.

Yours sincerely.

Johnston of the second of the



November

10.

My dear Field,

I am very thankful for your note of November 9 explaining certain discrepancies in the Daily Intelligence Report on the subject of the number of refugees in Shanghai. I am now going into the matter and a paragraph explaining the correct position will appear in the Daily Intelligence Summary in due course.

I am sending you herewith some specimens of illustrated handbills which have been circulated in connection with the anti-Japanese propaganda campaign.

Yours sincerely,

Captain L.F. Field, 10 Hongkong Road.

\* Enclosure

Spriner of landells.

1.

## SHANGHAI MUNICIPAL POLICE.

C | No. 2

## REPORT

SHANGKAI I	KURICIRALIPO	LICE	
C. & S.	D. REGIST	RY	•
o. S. B.	D.288	Station,	
	November	16.193:	1.

te Ky	and Forwarded by C.D.I. Robertson
	With reference to the letter of November 2, 1931 from
	. Captain Field regarding statistics of refugees as contained
	in the Special Pranch Intelligence Summary I have to state
	that the number of refugees arriving at Shanghai is given
	as the total of persons observed to land and not necessarily
	residerin this area.
	The number given of persons repatriated is treated
	separately and is not deducted from the number of arrivals
	for the following reasons.
	Refugees on arrival, with the exception of a comparati-
	vely small number accommodated at the Liu Ying Road Camp,
	distribute themselves throughout Chinese controlled territory
	and surrounding country districts and it is possible that
	many hundreds of them have returned to their homes without
	passing through the Settlement. It would not therefore
	be a fair calculation to deduct the number repatriated from
	the number of arrivals. Moreover, under present arrange-
	ments the Liu Ying Road Camp will close at the end of the
	current month which does not mean that the influx nor the
	departure of refugees will cease.
	Sterry Robertion
	CO D. I.
	,
	Officer i/c Special Branch.

DEW GIVENS,

May I draw your attention to what looks like an error in the daily summary of information?

The report of Nov.7th gives the total number of refugees

in Shanghai as 65,133.

The report of Nov.9th states that, on the 7th and 8th, 400 refugees were repatriated, while on the same dates 333 arrived.

This leaves a balance in favour of repatriation of 67, and the total number of refugees on November 8th should therefore be 65066

therefore be 65066.

Instead of this, the total is given in to-day's report as 65,466, which figure looks as if it was arrived at by adding the arrivals of the 7th and 8th to the total for the 6th, without taking account of the departures.

May I suggest that, if this practice has been going on ever since repatriation began, the total number of refugees in Shanghai should now read 62,686, which is arrived at by taking the total in to-day's report and deducting from it the total number of people repatriated?

1 Shales

T.P. Givens, Esq:,

A/C.P. (Special Branch). Shanghai Municipal Police.